

Byrne, Randall (Treasury)

From: Byrne, Randall (Treasury)
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 10:55 AM
To: Schafer, Suzanne K. (Treasury) (SchaferS7@michigan.gov)
Subject: FW: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

Suzanne:

FYI,

Randy

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Byrne, Randall (Treasury) <ByrneR1@michigan.gov>; Cline, Richard (Treasury) <cliner1@michigan.gov>; Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov>
Cc: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY) <WorkmanW@michigan.gov>; Steckelberg, Larry (TREASURY) <SteckelbergL@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

See the note(s) below... we do need to take a deeper dive to confirm some of the questions raised late yesterday. We should try to do so quickly. Thanks. Sorry for the rush.

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 10:31 AM
To: Posthumus, Dick (GOV) <Posthumusd@michigan.gov>; Khouri, Nick (TREASURY) <KhouriN@michigan.gov>
Cc: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY) <WorkmanW@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

Dick – we can 'run' those details down. We were under the impression we had a 5:00 deadline last night. But late yesterday our staff were not aware of the Kurtz veto i.e., we will double check.

What is the deadline?

From: Posthumus, Dick (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 9:51 AM
To: Khouri, Nick (TREASURY) <KhouriN@michigan.gov>
Cc: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY) <WorkmanW@michigan.gov>; Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

I am going to include these changes in the timeline, but I need to know they are 100% accurate or we will be criticized by detractors. Are we sure Kurtz didn't veto the City Council changes to the KWA resolution?

From: Khouri, Nick (TREASURY)
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 7:01 AM
To: Posthumus, Dick (GOV) <Posthumusd@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

Dick

Relied on Tom and Wayne Workman for the history

Here are their comments

- (1) Most of these dates involve DEQ et al in which we/Treasury did not play a role i.e., someone else [DEQ] would need to confirm their accuracy.
- (2) Just quickly, items we would question from the document or need to investigate further...
- (3) 12/20/12 (p.1) - we don't have the notification that is referenced
- (4) 3/29/13 (p.2) – we are not aware of any veto by Kurtz.
- (5) The early offers by DWSD to reconnect were rejected because of the add'l cost, they were long term & the fact the City had executed a contract with KWA. On Jan 12 '15 they waived the reconnection fee but there were still issues re the length/term of the commitment.
- (6) 3/12/15 – the Veolia report did mention corrosion control but the focus was on TTHM which was chlorination issue not related to the lead problem. I believe Treasury funded the study.

From: Posthumus, Dick (GOV) [<mailto:Posthumusd@michigan.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 2:50 PM

To: Khouri, Nick (TREASURY) <KhouriN@michigan.gov>; Sally Durfee <sdurfee05@hotmail.com>; Sally Durfee <sdurfee05@hotmail.com>

Subject: Fwd: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

Let me know if this is accurate Thanks

Dick

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Posthumus, Dick (GOV)" <Posthumusd@michigan.gov>

Date: January 19, 2016 at 1:53:44 PM EST

To: "Redford, James (GOV)" <RedfordJ@michigan.gov>, "Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)" <muchmored@michigan.gov>, "Agen, Jarrod (GOV)" <AgenJ@michigan.gov>, "Creagh, Keith (DEQ)" <creaghk@michigan.gov>, "Pallone, Maggie (DEQ)" <PalloneM@michigan.gov>, "Lyon, Nick (DHHS)" <LyonN2@michigan.gov>, "Ruest, Karla (DHHS)" <RuestK@michigan.gov>

Subject: FW: Message from "RNP002673A81665"

To all;

Senator Ananich would like to add additional items to our timeline that I sent. They are highlighted and I think show up on this scan. Please look at and let me know if they are factual (DHHS and DEQ) (and if you say they aren't tell me why because Senator Ananich will have his