

Steckelberg, Larry (TREASURY)

From: Baird, Richard (GOV)
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 2:17 PM
To: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Cc: Clement, Elizabeth (GOV); Saxton, Thomas (Treasury); Steckelberg, Larry (TREASURY)
Subject: Re: Water bills

I am going to see Mayor Weaver tonight. I will steer her toward accepting our help with a third party at our expense. Wayne says Yeo & Yeo are their auditors.

Rich

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

On Jan 14, 2016 1:35 PM, "Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)" <WorkmanW@michigan.gov> wrote:
It's Flint. They are very understaffed as city hall payrolls were slashed by the EM's to balance budget. We also asked for some detailed information to get complete picture of the system. Just getting one item always leads to need for the complete picture. We offered to pay for a third party to help and they have not taken us up on that. I will forward that email thread. Wayne

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2016, at 1:20 PM, Clement, Elizabeth (GOV) <clemente@michigan.gov> wrote:

Why so long?

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 14, 2016, at 12:51 PM, Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
<WorkmanW@michigan.gov> wrote:

City has promised data by February 1

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2016, at 11:45 AM, Baird, Richard (GOV) <bairdr@michigan.gov> wrote:

Mayors office working on it. Wayne W on point. Wayne?

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

On Jan 14, 2016 11:22 AM, "Clement, Elizabeth (GOV)"
<clemente@michigan.gov> wrote:

Do we have numbers from Treasury or the city of Flint that outlines the extent of past due water bills and do we have any proposals of how we can assist in addressing that problem? I know the rate increases contributed to this problem but I am trying to to determine if we have numbers that outline the problem.

Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury)

From: Ryan Felton <ryanfelton13@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 5:23 PM
To: Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury)
Cc: Murray, David (GOV)
Subject: Re: PRESS INQUIRY | The Guardian re: Flint River

Thanks much!

On Thu, Jan 14, 2016 at 5:22 PM, Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov> wrote:
The treasurer approved the contract with KWA. I assume that's what Mr Croft is referring to.

On Jan 14, 2016, at 5:14 PM, Ryan Felton <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

Thanks for the prompt reply. Any comment about the first question, on whether Croft's statement is inaccurate - or false?

Best,
Ryan

On Thu. Jan 14, 2016 at 5:12 PM, Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov> wrote:

I don't have a date for a break off in talks. The decision to go with KWA was made in mid-April of 2013.

Under PA436, the treasurer had to consider (approve or disapprove) the contract with KWA, as it was above the \$50k threshold in the act. The Treasurer (Dillon) approved the contract with KWA and when Kurtz announced the city would go to the new authority, DWSD sent a termination letter to Flint.

On Jan 14, 2016, at 4:58 PM, Ryan Felton <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

Sorry, forgot to add this one: Howard Croft, Flint's then-director of the city's Public Works Department, is on the record as saying the decision to use the Flint River came from the governor's office; that "evaluations [went] all the way up to the state level on what the best course of action would be for the city of Flint, and that was the determination."

So, based on what you just told me, would you say that Croft's statement is false?

Did the state Treasury have to approve this, as the city was under a financial emergency?

Thanks much!
Ryan