

Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)

From: Baird, Richard (GOV)
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 11:46 AM
To: Clement, Elizabeth (GOV); Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Subject: Re: Water bills

Mayors office working on it. Wayne W on point. Wayne?

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

On Jan 14, 2016 11:22 AM, "Clement, Elizabeth (GOV)" wrote:

Do we have numbers from Treasury or the city of Flint that outlines the extent of past due water bills and do we have any proposals of how we can assist in addressing that problem? I know the rate increases contributed to this problem but I am trying to to determine if we have numbers that outline the problem.

Thanks!

Beth

Sent from my iPad

Sampson, Jeremy (TREASURY)

From: Fielek, Joseph L. (Treasury)
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 1:12 PM
To: Luepnitz, Ann (Treasury); Hanses, Bruce (TREASURY); Saxton, Thomas (Treasury); Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury)
Cc: Pleyte, Beth (Treasury); Doyle, Maureen (Treasury); Cousineau, Sara (Treasury); Sampson, Jeremy (TREASURY)
Subject: RE: Review of Draft Presidential Declaration Request-Approval Needed by Today at 1:30PM

FYI – the only reference to Treasury is on page 4 of the request letter as indicated below:

With input from the Department of Treasury, MDTED assessed that the most significant immediate negative impact will be the economic effects of such things as decreased property values, lost business activity (new businesses will not move to Flint and existing businesses that rely on clean water, such as restaurants, will be hurt), lost employment, population loss (renters will leave the city). Residents and businesses will also have to pay extra for bottled water, so the extra spending on water will take away from other spending. It is relatively easy for residents to move some of their economic/spending activity outside the city, so the Flint economy will be hurt. These impacts will create an economic downturn in Flint. In terms of personal income losses, a three to four percent loss in nominal personal income in the City of Flint seems a reasonable estimate, which would equal a negative impact of \$85 million to \$115 million per year in lost economic activity. Long-term, there will be the significant cost of a new water system. Direct health related costs, estimated by MDHHS, are in addition to this estimate.

So I would say for the most part we have no other comment to make regarding this correspondence.

From: Luepnitz, Ann (Treasury)
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 12:48 PM
To: Hanses, Bruce (TREASURY) <HansesB@michigan.gov>; Fielek, Joseph L. (Treasury) <FIELEKJ@michigan.gov>; Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>; Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov>
Cc: Pleyte, Beth (Treasury) <PleyteB@michigan.gov>; Doyle, Maureen (Treasury) <DoyleM4@michigan.gov>; Cousineau, Sara (Treasury) <CousineauS@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: Review of Draft Presidential Declaration Request-Approval Needed by Today at 1:30PM
Importance: High

All:

I received the attached documents from MSP EMHSD a few minutes ago. Treasury needs to review and approve the two documents: 1) the letter to President Obama and 2) an associated FEMA request form before they sent to the Governor's office for final review and editing. The approval is needed based on information provided by the Michigan Department of Treasury on page 4 of the Declaration Request Cover Letter attached.

Can any of you tell me who should approve this? This has been promised to the Governor by early afternoon, our approval needs to be returned to Tony Katarsky by no later than 1:30 PM today. Please let me know or call me at 517-
[REDACTED] to discuss.

Thanks,

Ann Luepnitz Manager
Facility, Mail, and Data Operations