

Robert, Carla M. (Treasury)

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 3:30 PM
To: Baird, Richard (GOV); Khouri, Nick (TREASURY)
Subject: FW: Flint Police and Fire Chiefs

Importance: High

Fyi. See below.

From: Headen, Frederick (Treasury)
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 3:24 PM
To: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>; Steckelberg, Larry (TREASURY) <SteckelbergL@michigan.gov>; Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov>
Subject: Flint Police and Fire Chiefs
Importance: High

See below the link to a *Flint Journal* article about the firing of the Police and Fire chiefs. The last paragraph refers to an interim Police chief. If accurate, it may be advisable for us to remind the Mayor that per the terms of the RTAB resolution she cannot name even an interim replacement without first submitting to the RTAB the minimum professional or occupational qualifications and the minimum/maximum salary range for the vacated positions.

http://www.mlive.com/news/flint/index.ssf/2016/02/mayor_fires_flint_police_fire.html#incart_2box_news_flint

Osborne, Ken (TREASURY)

From: Starr, Scott (DTMB)
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 4:38 PM
To: Lange, Michelle (GOV)
Cc: Osborne, Ken (TREASURY)
Subject: Re: SB 136 (Re: Flint \$30MM appropriation) Poleski proposed edits

I think they are just moving the 3 million for water relief in 5220 from DEQ to Treasury. We're ok with it.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 12, 2016, at 3:17 PM, Lange, Michelle (GOV) <LangeM3@michigan.gov> wrote:

Hey. FYI for you, I heard there was going to be a \$3M change in the supplemental? The gross number would still be the same? I don't have details other than that.

From: Adam Carlson [<mailto:acarlson@house.mi.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Earl Poleski <epoleski@house.mi.gov>; Josiah Kissling <jkissling@house.mi.gov>; Maria Ostrander <Mostrander@house.mi.gov>
Cc: Lange, Michelle (GOV) <LangeM3@michigan.gov>; mishirkey@gmail.com; starrs@michigan.gov; Osborne, Ken (TREASURY) <OsborneK1@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: SB 136 (Re: Flint \$30MM appropriation) Poleski proposed edits

Hey Rep. Poleski (& Others),

This is a pretty good draft from our end with just a couple of things to note. I don't want to speak for Treasury, but I'm not sure if we want to specify in this bill about late fees with such detail. That is for two reasons.

- 1) It has been indicated to me that we may not be able to obtain a significant amount of detail on late fees, or exactly when they were incurred. If it is impossible to separate late fees that occurred in this time frame from ones that were outside the time frame, the way this amendment is written it might make it impossible to do any reimbursements.
- 2) I think we may be able to, through our Dept. of Treasury, put pressure on the water system to forgive these late fees, since no services were provided that would justify them. If we stipulate in this bill that they must be paid, the water treatment department would not have any incentive to forgive those charges since the state would be reimbursing them for them

Perhaps for subsection (c) we could change to:

"100% of charges, commonly known as late charges, charged to a system customer relating to unpaid billings, as approved by the State Treasurer".

The other comment is on the administrative fee. Based on our conversations with Treasury, I do not have a supreme level of confidence in the ability of the local water folks to administer this credit program. We may want to consider allowing Treasury to bring in some private sector consulting/accounting assistance to ensure that this is done properly. Perhaps we could change Section 302 (1) to read: