

Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)

From: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2015 3:35 PM
To: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Cc: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury); Clement, Elizabeth (GOV)
Subject: Re: FDCVT - Flint

I wish we could get realistic rather than inflamed, but I'm afraid the flames have long ago been stoked by some missteps that occurred in the switchover to Flint River water. Let's see what we can do.

On Sep 30, 2015, at 9:26 AM, Workman, Wayne (TREASURY) <WorkmanW@michigan.gov> wrote:

There is a STC order for reassessment. It will take 3 years so they can pay in phases. They don't all the money at once. A permanent lead solution is best but more costly. I think we need a face with just a few City people DEQ, HHS to talk about realistic options, not inflamed rhetoric

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2015, at 9:03 AM, Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov> wrote:

To be honest I am not sure... I don't have the history/background to know whether we would actually turn them/communities down (& we might) if they can't fully fund their project. I am sure that area (assessing) is in chaos as well in Flint. If that were the case i.e., we would say no to the assessing project; then yes in terms of the switch to water related projects. I think the number I heard yesterday was 10,000-15,000 filters for \$250k. Or maybe you create a program to replace the curb-to-home lead piping.

The call with Flint got cancelled today. They are not available now at that time.

From: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2015 8:47 AM
To: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>
Cc: Clement, Elizabeth (GOV) <clemente@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: FDCVT - Flint

Thanks. Are you suggesting they modify their request to one that asks for money for filters instead of the assessing since the assessing cost exceeds the grant and they couldn't do the project anyway?

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 5:34 PM
To: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV) <muchmored@michigan.gov>
Cc: Clement, Elizabeth (GOV) <clemente@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: FDCVT - Flint

One of my 'take-aways' from the meeting this afternoon was to follow up on whether they could use those Distressed Cities' \$s for filters. Remember last year they did receive \$2mm which they

used for water related items. This year they want funds for the property assessing area. Their project cost is more than the grant limit. It is not clear they realize that. The deadline application is Oct 15 if they were willing to change the application. Assuming that is doable.

With Wayne away (and before the 1:00 mtg)... a call was set up actually earlier today with Natasha Henderson. Do you care if we still do that ? The email setting it up is attached. Obviously we are not going to commit to anything.

Let me know if you need anything else.

From: Cole, Evah (Treasury)
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 4:20 PM
To: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>
Cc: Koryzno, Edward (Treasury) <KoryznoE@michigan.gov>; Pleyte, Beth (Treasury) <PleyteB@michigan.gov>; Dempkowski, Angela (Treasury) <DempkowskiA@michigan.gov>; Kolka, Carolyn (Treasury) <KolkaC@michigan.gov>; Stanton, Terry A. (Treasury) <StantonT@michigan.gov>; Workman, Wayne (TREASURY) <WorkmanW@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: FDCVT - Flint

Tom,

Below are the answers to your questions. If you have any further questions, let us know. Thank you.

Do we know whether Flint has yet applied for any of these (FDCVT) \$s ?

ANSWER: We received an application from the City of Flint on September 17th. The amount requested for the project is \$2M (the limit for a local unit) and it is estimated to take \$3.1M to complete the entire project. They also indicated that if full funding is not awarded, it is doubtful that they will be able to move forward with the project. The proposed project is:

“The city proposes to hire a qualified contractor to provide property assessing services. Specifically, this contractor will create digital property sketches of all improved properties, evaluate existing property conditions and improvements by providing real property data verification, and conduct a comprehensive appraisal valuation study. This process will take place over a period of 3 years, from June 1, 2016 to July 1, 2019.”

Do we know if they have plans to?

ANSWER: Since they asked for the entire \$2M for the above project, we would assume they are not submitting any further projects, however we are not sure.

And have they asked (& rec'd) \$s from this program previously i.e., last year, and if so, what did they use those \$s for?