

Dempkowski, Angela (Treasury)

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2015 11:48 AM
To: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Cc: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Subject: RE: Flint

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Probably not (in terms of a reason not to)... it is not clear to me that you will have an immediate fix on the water issues (e.g., coloring, lead, etc).

You will have issues/costs in standing down the water plant & costs when switching to KWA. We haven't seen those estimates.

We are in this fix in part because DWSD had kicked the City off their system so I am not sure I have a ton of confidence in their numbers or deal. Hopefully as you are saying there are no hidden costs.

And we need to figure out how best to pay for it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2015 10:33 AM
To: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury) <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>
Subject: Flint

If the ballpark is \$11M, and we decide to reconnect, can you think of any other reason not to do so? Can you think of any other costs that might pop up.



Randall Byrne | State Administrative Manager
Local Government Services - Office of Fiscal Responsibility
State of Michigan | 430 W. Allegan Street, 3rd Floor | Lansing, MI 48922
(517) 335-2521 | (517) 373-0633 (fax) | ByrneR1@michigan.gov

Think Green! Don't print this e-mail unless you need to.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, and any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is confidential and protected from disclosure under the law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail, and delete/destroy all copies of the original message and attachments.

<KWA Water Quality Chronology Memo 09-28-15.docx>