

Koryzno, Edward (Treasury)

From: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 8:41 AM
To: Koryzno, Edward (Treasury); Byrne, Randall (Treasury); Cline, Richard (Treasury); Dempkowski, Angela (Treasury)
Subject: Fwd: Flint

Maybe we can discuss this table idea Tuesday am while I am driving. It may be a good way to simplify things

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hollins, Harvey (GOV)" <hollinsh@michigan.gov>
Date: February 15, 2015 at 10:46:19 PM EST
To: "Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)" <SaxtonT@michigan.gov>, "Baird, Richard (GOV)" <bairdr@michigan.gov>, "Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)" <muchmored@michigan.gov>
Cc: "Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)" <WorkmanW@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Re: Flint

Tom,

Thanks. I'd like to get such a table out to the Flint stakeholders; however, before doing so, the table probably needs to be modified to better reflect the comparison between Flint River and DWSD.

--HH

From: Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 8:10 PM
To: Baird, Richard (GOV); Muchmore, Dennis (GOV); Hollins, Harvey (GOV)
Cc: Workman, Wayne (TREASURY)
Subject: Re: Re: Flint

You may have already seen this (below) blurb from MLive. Also, I had a call from Jerry A. He indicated Veolia is coming to the same conclusion that it may involve tweaking of the process vs some major undertaking. We will know more next week.

I have also attached a table Wayne's & Jerry's staff put together. My sense is there is still this thought that hooking back up to DWSD is cost neutral or nearly so. Hopefully this table shows that is not the case. The info is consistent with we sent you the other day i.e., there is an estimated 30% rate impact.

