

Lamphier, Wendy (Treasury)

From: Dillon, Andy (Treasury)
Sent: Sunday, February 24, 2013 3:01 PM
To: Stibitz, Brom (Treasury)
Subject: Re: Flint water supply

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Let's discuss Monday.

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 22, 2013, at 2:33 PM, "Stibitz, Brom (Treasury)" <StibitzB@michigan.gov> wrote:

> Andy – attached is the Local Government analysis on KWA/DWSD.

>

> From: Fraser, Roger (Treasury)

> Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 8:07 AM

> To: Stibitz, Brom (Treasury)

> Cc: Koryzno, Edward (Treasury); Byrne, Randall (TREASURY); Cline,

> Richard (Treasury)

> Subject: FW: Flint water supply

>

> Attached is our assessment of the KWA issue in Flint. The questions Andy raised are specifically addressed.

>

> As you may recall, while receiving water from DWSD, an ongoing requirement, imposed by DEQ, is for a backup water system in Flint in case of failure of the DWSD service. To that end, Flint has been required to maintain their water plant, even though it rarely has been used. In the parallel line option, Tucker Young suggests that the need for Flint to maintain their water plant while receiving water from DWSD, might be resolved by running a parallel line from Imlay City that would provide a second means of delivery for DWSD treated water. That parallel line could, in the thoughts of Tucker Young, also resolve certain backup issues for other parts of the DWSD system.

>

> We are unaware of any discussions with DWSD on this issue. An obvious concern would be where the cost for the parallel line fits in DWSD capital planning. From Flint's perspective, the value proposition has to be concerning as the City spent approximately \$45 million upgrading the plant recently, still has debt to pay related to those improvements, and would be faced with the longer term issue of what to do with the plant. Use by the County was suggested. Because of the low geographic elevation of the Flint plant, its use as other than a backup for the Genesee County water services would be difficult.

>

> Based on what we understand of the somewhat dynamic circumstances around this decision, the KWA option still seems best for Flint.

>

>

> From: Byrne, Randall (TREASURY)

> Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 10:52 AM

> To: Fraser, Roger (Treasury)

> Cc: Koryzno, Edward (Treasury)

> Subject: Flint water supply

> Roger:

>

> I am forwarding to you the updated KWA Assessment and a question regarding another option that was not included in this Assessment. It was discussed by Tucker Young and DWSD last Friday, but we have nothing in writing from DWSD committing them to this proposal, nor do we have any engineering data to compare this option with the others. Please review Eric's comments to me and advise as to the best course of action.

>

> Thanks,

> Randy,

>

>

>

>

>

> Randall D. Byrne, Manager, Office of Fiscal Responsibility [cid:image001.gif@01CE101D.E29B5610]

> State of Michigan 430 W. Allegan St. , Lansing, MI 48922

> ByrneR1@michigan.gov<mailto:ByrneR1@michigan.gov> (517) 355-2521

>

> P Think Green! Don't print this e-mail unless you need to.

>

> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, and any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is confidential and protected from disclosure under the law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail, and delete/destroy all copies of the original message and attachments.

> Thank you.

>

>

> <Flint Water Parallel Line Option.Update.eml> <UPDATED FLINT DWSD KWA

> ASSESSMENT.Version VIII.02-21-13.doc.eml> <UPDATED FLINT DWSD KWA

> ASSESSMENT Version VIII 02-21-13.pdf> <image001.gif>