

1. A detailed work breakdown of the \$7,000 (e.g., task or deliverable being performed that is out-of-scope)
2. Section of DTMB contract where the \$110/hour Senior Financial Advisor rate is identified
3. Section of DTMB contract where the \$214.68/hour Principal-in-Charge rate is identified.

I will follow-up with a phone call. Contact me with any questions.

Thanks,

Tom Falik
State of Michigan
Department of Treasury
Purchasing Section
Phone: (517) 373-3185"

From: Falik, Tom A. (Treasury)
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 5:11 PM
To: Byrne, Randall (TREASURY)
Cc: Koryzno, Edward (Treasury); Cline, Richard (Treasury)
Subject: RE: TYJT request for additional compensation

Randy,

Per our phone discussion, general comments follow:

1. Out-of-scope work is not normally allowed unless prior approval and the contract is updated
2. A detailed breakdown of the \$7,000 should be received (e.g., how much for 4-hour Flint trip and how much for out-of-scope work, if any?)
3. It is not clear at this point if the contract allows these extra charges without sufficient detail to determine if its in-scope or out-of-scope from the contract
4. At this time, it does not appear we should be liable for additional charges due to the following:
 - A. The final report has not been provided per section 1.022A.3
 - B. Contractor recognized the importance and necessity of including all applicable costs for a relative comparison (Sample Project Plan attachment, section 3.1)
 - C. How many Data Collection and Review meetings did Contractor attend with both DWSD and KWA? Two for both were assumed in Sample Project Plan attachment, section 3.1
 - D. Contractor understood additional evaluation criteria/factors may need to be identified and used in consultation with Treasurer (Sample Project Plan attachment, section 3.2). An additional cost was not identified
 - E. Contractor anticipated incorporating comments from Treasury's review and submit a final document for use (Sample Project Plan attachment, section 3.2). An additional cost was not identified
 - F. Contractor did not note an additional cost for general meetings at conclusion of work (Sample Project Plan attachment, section 4).
 - G. Contractor acknowledged analysis was based on limited info in January 14 letter. Did they identify limited information available before evaluation?
 - H. Some of the information appears to be collected before the actual contract start date per January 14 letter (reference to November 20, 2012 meeting for various responses).

Contact me with any questions.

Tom
Phone: (517) 373-3185

Koryzno, Edward (Treasury)

Subject: flint meeting/water discussions
Location: Flint City Hall, Mayor's Office large conf room

Start: Mon 1/28/2013 3:00 PM
End: Mon 1/28/2013 5:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Dempkowski, Angela (Treasury)
Required Attendees: Fraser, Roger (Treasury); Koryzno, Edward (Treasury); Byrne, Randall (TREASURY); Cline, Richard (Treasury)

Confirmed with Maxine.

Attendees:

City of Flint

Ed Kurtz

Mike Brown

Mayor Walling

Jerry Ambrose

Howard Croft

Daugherty Johnson

Brent Wright

Genesee County Drain Commission

Commissioner Jeff Wright

John O'Brien

Rowe Professional Services

John Matonich

Jim Redding