

Lamphier, Wendy (Treasury)

From: Dillon, Andy (Treasury)
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 6:45 PM
To: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Cc: Stibitz, Brom (Treasury); Wyant, Dan (DEQ)
Subject: Re: Flint

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

We grilled them on that quite a bit. There is a maximum guaranteed price on key construction items and the path allegedly presents limited risk- rural, thru areas w no gas or water lines, existing easements etc. I was the skeptic but view of my staff and deq was universal in favor of kwa. I was won over as well. I can't make a legitimate case that financially this is worse for Flint unless today's threat from Shank (DWSD will bill Flint for past capex) is real. We are sorting thru that.

I see lots of political downside as well. Community is invested in this.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2013, at 3:10 PM, "Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)" <muchmored@michigan.gov> wrote:

> How does Kurtz propose to protect them from cost overruns? Did they get a low ball price and how do we keep it from ballooning? Finally, what does that do to the sale value of DWSD?

>

> Sent from my iPhone