
From: Wurfel, Sara (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:54 PM
To: 'Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)'
Cc: Shekter Smith, Liane (DEQ)
Subject: RE: two questions from Gov

Didn't you say something about past statute presumed that they had already switched from lead service lines?

What about a new school opening or changing hands? At beginning of each school year?

From: Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:45 PM
To: Wurfel, Sara (GOV) <Wurfels@michigan.gov>
Cc: Shekter Smith, Liane (DEQ) <SHEKTERL@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: two questions from Gov

Liane, please correct me if I've got this wrong ...

In short, I believe the answer I'm going to be able to confirm yet today is that the schools have never been tested.

There is no requirement in statute for the testing of schools for lead or copper if they're using the municipal service as their supply (statute presumes they are covered same as homes by the surveillance monitoring done on households).

If the school is on its own well, it undergoes testing as a sole source.
b

From: Wurfel, Sara (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:27 PM
To: Pallone, Maggie (DEQ); Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)
Subject: FW: two questions from Gov

#1 is the Q I asked about yesterday. The Governor is still very much expecting -- and we need -- an answer to this Q. (And what is requirement or statute, or standard, or isn't there one?)

Thanks!

From: Agen, Jarrod (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:19 PM
To: Wurfel, Sara (GOV) <Wurfels@michigan.gov>; Murray, David (GOV) <MurrayD1@michigan.gov>
Subject: two questions from Gov

- 1) What is our Comms response to the question: What happens in rest of the state regarding water testing in schools?

- 2) Gov is meeting with SML and Speaker this afternoon, but when do we inform Ananich and Greimel? On this one, I assume we are inviting Ananich tomorrow morning and we can just tell Greimel at that same time, right? What time are we doing that?