

>>>
>>> Need to bring this to ground today if we can.
>>>
>>> Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID
>>> ----- Forwarded message -----
>>> From: "Daddow, Robert" <daddowr@oakgov.com<mailto:daddowr@oakgov.com>>
>>> Date: Feb 17, 2015 9:05 AM
>>> Subject: FW: Flint
>>> To: "Baird, Richard (GOV)" <bairdr@michigan.gov<mailto:bairdr@michigan.gov>>
>>> Cc:
>>>
>>> Rich - it takes two to negotiate and Flint representatives are not at the table. In fact, they are actively trying to avoid even considering this as an alternative course of action. Me - what I'd be doing is a dual track; if the local solution fails, I'd have the second option to fall back on. But, heh, that is just me. They are over 21, have been elected to represent their residents / commercial entities and therefore are responsible for their actions - to the same people who put them there.
>>>
>>> While I'd like to pick up a few percentage point reduction in the water rate increases for the retention of Flint, it would be short-term anyway. If we don't have a willing partner on that side, then it can only lead to further distractions from a DWSD management already stressed in dealing with the transition, normal business, and the new Financial Review Board / two policy boards that they are reporting to.
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Robert Daddow [mailto:daddowr@oakgov.com]
>>> Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 9:01 AM
>>> To: 'Nicolette Bateson'
>>> Cc: 'Brian Baker'; 'Bart Foster'; jfausone@fb-firm.com<mailto:jfausone@fb-firm.com>; 'Sue McCormick'; 'William Wolfson'
>>> Subject: RE: Flint
>>>
>>> Yesterday, I received a voice mail from R. Baird (still have not connected) that indicated that the City is actively working to resolve the water matter so as to avoid connecting to the DWSD. Veolia is assisting in this matter and will be issuing a report shortly. Nikki shared the above article that provides additional guidance as well.
>>>
>>> The voice mail from Mr. Baird indicated that the City is saving a fair amount of dollars in treating the water locally - albeit notwithstanding the known quality issues. The difference between DWSD and Flint treated water is helping to remediate other fiscal issues (potentially capital and operating) as the rates charged to the residents / commercial entities have not been changed, including perhaps other City fiscal issues. Given the active involvement of Flint in securing a resolution of this matter locally and not actively engaging DWSD in the discussions as an alternative course of action, I see no reason to pursue this matter any further. The ball is squarely in the court of the EM and Flint elected officials to resolve. RJD
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Nicolette Bateson [mailto:bateson@dwsd.org]
>>> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 6:45 PM
>>> To: Robert Daddow
>>> Cc: Brian Baker; Bart Foster; jfausone@fb-firm.com<mailto:jfausone@fb-firm.com>; Sue McCormick; William Wolfson
>>> Subject: Re: Flint
>>>
>>> Hi Bob: I just saw your message. Interesting development!
>>>
>>> All: May I suggest a conference call to evaluate the options? I am available at any time and can send out the call-in number.
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>>
>>> Nickie
>>>
>>> Nicolette N. Bateson, CPA
>>> Chief Financial Officer

>>> Detroit Water & Sewerage Department
>>> 735 Randolph, Room 1608
>>> Detroit, Michigan 48226
>>> Office: (313)964-9225
>>> Cell: **PPI**
>>> Fax: (313)964-9219
>>> bateson@dwsd.org<<mailto:bateson@dwsd.org>>
>>> City of Detroit
>>> Mike Duggan, Mayor
>>>

>>> ----- Original Message -----

>>> From: "Robert Daddow" <daddowr@oakgov.com<<mailto:daddowr@oakgov.com>>>
>>> To: "Bart Foster" <bfoster@fostergroupllc.com<<mailto:bfoster@fostergroupllc.com>>>, "Nicolette Bateson" <bateson@dwsd.org<<mailto:bateson@dwsd.org>>>, ifansone@fb-firm.com<<mailto:ifansone@fb-firm.com>>, "Sue McCormick" <smccormick@dwsd.org<<mailto:smccormick@dwsd.org>>>
>>> Cc: "Brian Baker" <bbaker@sterling-heights.net<<mailto:bbaker@sterling-heights.net>>>
>>> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 1:53:06 PM
>>> Subject: RE: Flint
>>>

>>> Guys – I think we can craft a win here. In talking with Rich Baird, who has been working with Flint on this matter along with Dennis Muchmore from the Governor's staff, apparently Flint is consider this but is choking a bit on the amount to be billed. While I recognize that this amount is based on the prior contract, we are in a unique position over the next two weeks or so and before the BOWC takes action on the rates, budget, CIP and other related efforts on March 11 th (formally March 4 th). The win would be solving the water problem in Flint (generally agreed that this is there issue and comes from a poor decision to remove themselves from the DWSD's quality water product), serving to reduce the

>>> FY-2016 suburban water rates already now in the public by perhaps 2% to 3%, and help to tamp down the media frenzy on this matter. It also demonstrates that flow is part of the problem – DWSD adds flow, rates go down.
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Right now, no one wins with Flint off the system – the rates for water remain at 11% for suburban wholesale, Flint has bad water and the press for both of us continues on this matter, along with the rate media issues.
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Here is what I propose. Drop the monthly rate for a defined period of time – they need a 15 month period. So let's drop the rate by say \$50K monthly and make it a rate of \$800K / month, fixed. In doing so, I suspect that the 11% rate can be dropped to roughly 8.5% to 9% (subject the Foster Group's more detailed analysis) – which show the public good

>>> faith. Then, if they go past the 15 month time frame, we can add costs
>>> on the rate at a semi-punitive approach - \$850K \$875K or more.
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> I believe that there is a win / win here. If the proposal is not acceptable, then I'd like to hear an alternative if at all possible – particularly since the 11% isn't selling well in the media, given the misinformation out there in the public. RJD
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> From: Bart Foster
>>> Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 10:33 PM
>>> To: Robert Daddow
>>> Cc: Nicolette Bateson
>>> Subject: Re: Flint
>>>
>>>