

---

**From:** Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)  
**Sent:** Friday, October 02, 2015 11:05 AM  
**To:** Snyder [PPI]  
**Cc:** Agen, Jarrod (GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: Question to consider

Ok. Walling, Ananich and Kildee are pushing privately for that to be considered. We'll collect as much info as possible. Dayne is setting up a meeting with DWSD on his own and I thought that his initiation without our encouragement or leadership was the right way to approach it. I just told Dayne to be careful about some twist that hasn't yet been considered.

> On Oct 2, 2015, at 10:57 AM, Snyder, Rick (GOV) [PPI] wrote:

>  
> We should help get all of the facts on the consequences of changing back vs. staying and then determine what financing mechanisms we have available. If we can provide the financing, then we should let Flint make the decision.  
>  
> Thanks  
> Rick  
>

> Sent from my iPad  
>

>> On Oct 2, 2015, at 7:38 AM, Muchmore, Dennis (GOV) <[muchmored@michigan.gov](mailto:muchmored@michigan.gov)> wrote:

>>  
>> It appears on the surface (without the deep dive we'll definitely do on it) that for \$11M we can reconnect to DWSD system for the intervening time before KWA comes on line. That may well be the only way to bring any confidence back to the community. If you choose to do so, what if we created or expanding some revolving fund where Flint wouldn't have to start repaying until 2020 or whenever in the future to stretch the liability? If we needed to have a guarantee perhaps we could use the Escheats of the bottle bill money as a set aside or guarantor without having to touch the principal?