
From: Lasher, GERALYN (DCH)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 2:10 PM
To: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS); Bien, Stan (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Flint Talking Points

I would leave it out for now

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 1:59 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DCH)
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DCH) ; Bien, Stan (DCH) ; Eisner, Jennifer (DCH) ; Lasher, GERALYN (DCH)
Subject: Re: Flint Talking Points

Either way is fine with me.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 24, 2015, at 1:57 PM, Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov> wrote:

I personally think that's more inside baseball but if G or Jen thinks it would help to say, I'm fine adding it in.

Angela

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 1:54 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DCH) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>; Bien, Stan (DCH) <biens@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DCH) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DCH) <lasherz@michigan.gov>
Subject: Re: Flint Talking Points

Do we want to add, in the first section, that MDHHS is working with Hurley to obtain approval for sharing a broader data set with Hurley for their review.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 24, 2015, at 1:46 PM, Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov> wrote:

Excellent. Thank you, Bob. I also spoke with Stan. The updated talking points are attached.

Angela

From: Scott, Robert L. (DCH)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 1:35 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Peeler, Nancy (DCH)

<PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Bien, Stan (DCH) <bienS@michigan.gov>
Cc: Eisner, Jennifer (DCH) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DCH)
 <lasherG@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Flint Talking Points

Angela,

One suggested change to the second bullet:
 "The analysis that Hurley conducted is different from the way MDHHS has analyzed data regarding blood lead levels in Flint."

Another suggestion for the 5th bullet under Differences in Analysis:
 "The MDHHS analysis looks specifically at the first elevated blood lead level for each child, which provides an accurate picture of when first exposure occurred."

Thanks,
 Bob

From: Minicuci, Angela (DCH)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 1:16 PM
To: Peeler, Nancy (DCH) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Bien, Stan (DCH) <bienS@michigan.gov>; Scott, Robert L. (DCH) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Cc: Eisner, Jennifer (DCH) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DCH) <lasherG@michigan.gov>
Subject: Flint Talking Points

Hi everyone,

With the Hurley press event at 3pm, can you please take a look at the following/attached talking points to make sure these are accurate and send me your edits?

Thank you.

-
- The results of the Hurley Children's Hospital are under review by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.
 - The analysis that Hurley conducted is different than the way MDHHS collects data regarding blood lead levels.
 - MDHHS is looking to see if we can replicate the results of the Hurley study to see how they achieved their results.

Differences in Analysis

- MDHHS data provides a much more robust picture of the entire blood lead levels for the Flint area, and specifically, accounts for data over the full course of the past five years.
- Looking at the past five years as a whole provides a much more accurate look at the seasonal trends of lead in the area.