

From: McKane, Patricia (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:36 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Cc: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS) <stanburym@michigan.gov>
Subject: Re: 2014 lead data

When I ran by report date today (I check for late reports) the earliest reports were for August 2015.

I will record the numbers for duplicates at every step

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2015, at 2:33 PM, Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov> wrote:

The number of children I get for 2014 using report date is 143,844. (The small increase is expected as results continue to trickle in late.)

From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:31 PM
To: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS) <stanburym@michigan.gov>; McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

I used specimen date.

Maybe a slight difference in the way we calculated age at test? I used this: (Specimen Date – Date of Birth)/365.25 and then included all children < 6.00 years of age.

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:24 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>; McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: 2014 lead data

Bob and Patti – Can you explain why Bob's total for 2014 (143,123 – see attached) is bigger than Patti's (141,355) is this because bob uses report date and Patti used specimen date?

From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:06 PM
To: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Cc: McKane, Patricia (DHHS); Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

Here's what I have (please see attachment)

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:03 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottRL@mdh.state.tx>
Cc: McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@mdh.state.tx>; Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS) <DykemaLD@mdh.state.tx>
Subject: FW: 2014 lead data
Importance: High

Do you have this done already, but just that the small cells haven't been suppressed?

From: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:52 PM
To: McKane, Patricia (DHHS); Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)
Cc: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS); Miller, Corinne (DHHS); Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data
Importance: High

I talked with Jennifer Eisner and here is what we agreed

Only the 2014 data (not 2015 since we don't typically release a year's data before the year is completed) by zip code for all of Michigan with small cells suppressed. And only confirmed venous tests as CLPPP has done for previous years. We explain that we're including capillary tests in the Flint reports in an effort to capture all possible ETEL children.