

If that language should be more expansive or detailed, I'm open to suggestions.

Angela

From: Mona Hanna-Attisha [<mailto:MHanna1@hurleymc.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:46 AM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I think I have emailed this about ten times already, there needs to be a third column under testing methodology titled GCHD -- that is an independent site where kids can get blood lead tested- separate from the primary care providers and separate from community/health plan events.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:20 AM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS); LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

As we received no edits to this last night, circulating tonight. Looks like this is the final version?

Also, any insight to the difference between Priority levels 2 and 3? Any help would be appreciated!

Angela

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 2:57 PM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; 'LaRocco, Toni' <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; 'Mona Hanna-Attisha' <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; 'Emily Houk' <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Attached is a cleaned up version for Priority 2.

Can someone help me understand the difference between the priority levels? Now that P2 and P3 have the same actions associated with them, hoping to be able to explain why we've set these different audiences under different priority levels.

Angela

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 2:33 PM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk

<emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>

Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Given the case management edit and the GCHD testing information, please see the attached updated version. Feedback?

Angela

From: Wells, Eden (DHHS)

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:55 AM

To: LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>

Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)

<EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>

Subject: Re: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Great!!

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 22, 2015, at 11:53 AM, LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us> wrote:

After lunch conversation regarding printing of materials. I have spoken with both our Headstart/Early Headstart program, call in for GSRP, call in for non-licensed day care and have distribution list for licensed day care centers.

From: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) [<mailto:PeelerN@michigan.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:36 AM

To: LaRocco, Toni

Cc: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS); Wells, Eden (DHHS); Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)

Subject: Re: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I agree with Toni - the case management contract with GCHD will be based on actual elevated levels (e.g. Priority 1), and CM will do outreach to those kids. They will not be offering CM to children who don't have a test showing an elevated level (e.g. Priority 2 and 3).

However, if kids from Priority level 2 or 3 DO have an elevated level, that would bump them up into Priority 1.

Nancy

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 22, 2015, at 11:16 AM, LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us> wrote:

I don't think case management column under priority level 2 is pertinent pending conversations tomorrow afternoon. Although anyone needing case management will be followed by GCHD

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:01 AM

To: Wells, Eden (DHHS)

Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); LaRocco,