
From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:59 AM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Subject: FW: # of kids =>6 w elevated BLL

Please see below. This means you need to add the older kids to the spreadsheet. Let's discuss.

From: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS) <stanburym@michigan.gov>
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: # of kids =>6 w elevated BLL

Thanks for pursuing this. I concur with the resolution.

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:49 PM
To: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS) <DykemaL@michigan.gov>
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: # of kids =>6 w elevated BLL

This email trail is the result of my attending a meeting with Nancy, Rashmi and Brenda to discuss their new Medicaid plan, and at the end of that meeting we discussed the problem that was being raised with GCHD wanting to include some kids for follow-up that were outside the criteria given to them in the contract. Rashmi et al asked for what the numbers would look like for kids outside of Flint and older than 5 that had elevated BL. I provided (using Patti's spreadsheet) and this is Rashmi's conclusions.

From: Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:25 PM
To: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS) <stanburym@michigan.gov>; Fink, Brenda (DHHS) <FinkB@michigan.gov>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>
Cc: Moran, Susan (DHHS) <MoranS@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: # of kids =>6 w elevated BLL

Thanks, Martha. So, given that this amounts to about 15 kids at the most over the course of 2 years, we would recommend to GCHD the following:

- We (Bob Scott) will provide kids 6-18 yrs in the defined zip code and add those to the list already provided to the GCHD
- For those kids requiring case management, GCHD will provide case management, bill Medicaid (for those kids who are on Medicaid), and then use the money we sent via the contract for any differences in costs that are not covered.
- I just confirmed with Sue that this would be our recommendation

Next steps:

- We will discuss with GCHD on our next call
- Martha and Nancy will connect with Bob on adding those kids (6-18 yrs) on the list
- Nancy will connect with Karen about educating the nurses at GCHD about this "change"

If anyone has any questions on the recommendations above, please let me or Nancy know.

Thanks,

Rashmi

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)

Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 3:12 PM

To: Fink, Brenda (DHHS) <FinkB@michigan.gov>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>

Subject: # of kids =>6 w elevated BLL

As per our discussion just now, in 2014, 913 kids age 6 -17 were tested in Genesee and 6 had a BLL =>5. (This includes Flint and is whole year, not april-Dec)

For Flint alone in 2014,428 were tested and 5 had BLL =>5 in 2014. (i.e. 5 of the 6 elevated lived in flint)

For 2015 through November, 1,197 were tested in Genesee and 10 were elevated.

For flint alone in 2015, 765 were tested and 8 were elevated.

I hope this helps.

Martha Stanbury, MSPH

Division of Environmental Health

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

PO Box 30195, Lansing, MI 48909

517-335-8364

stanburym@michigan.gov