

Message

From: McKane, Patricia (DHHS) [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=89BDAA1F3BE34A5E983CFC72AE4C77A0-MCKANE PATRICIA]
Sent: 12/7/2015 7:33:38 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1aefbcaada9a48ad8d643aa95e441df1-Scott Robert L.]
CC: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9051f88309e84aea86fb48005135336d-Stanbury Martha]
Subject: Re: 2014 lead data

We use INTCK function in SAS to calculate the age. I believe it calculates to days and we ask SAS for years.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2015, at 2:30 PM, Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov> wrote:
 I used specimen date.

Maybe a slight difference in the way we calculated age at test? I used this: (Specimen Date – Date of Birth)/365.25 and then included all children < 6.00 years of age.

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:24 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>; McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: 2014 lead data

Bob and Patti – Can you explain why Bob's total for 2014 (143,123 – see attached) is bigger than Patti's (141,355) . Is this because bob uses report date and Patti used specimen date?

From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:06 PM
To: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Cc: McKane, Patricia (DHHS); Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

Here's what I have (please see attached).

From: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:03 PM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Cc: McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@michigan.gov>; Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS) <DykemaL@michigan.gov>
Subject: FW: 2014 lead data
Importance: High

Do you have this done already, but just that the small cells haven't been suppressed?

From: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:52 PM
To: McKane, Patricia (DHHS); Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)
Cc: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS); Miller, Corinne (DHHS); Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data
Importance: High

I talked with Jennifer Eisner and here is what we need:

Only the 2014 data (not 2015 since we don't typically release a year's data before the year is completed), by zip code for all of Michigan with small cells suppressed. And only confirmed venous tests as CLPPP has done for previous years: we'll explain that we're including capillary tests in the Flint reports in an effort to capture all possible EBL children.

Could I please have an estimate of when this can be done?

From: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:08 PM
To: McKane, Patricia (DHHS); Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)
Cc: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS); Miller, Corinne (DHHS); Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data
Importance: High

Ok, I deleted all the chatter in-between.

I believe the request is for annual 2014 and 2015 (not by quarter) for all Michigan zip codes (suppressing cells less than 6). The data provided should match what we're reporting to the Director/Governor, so retain the highest test whether venous or capillary.

Jennifer/GERALYN: is that accurate?

From: McKane, Patricia (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:56 AM
To: Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS)
Cc: Stanbury, Martha (DHHS); Miller, Corinne (DHHS); Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Subject: Re: 2014 lead data

To clarify you want 2014 by zip code and quarter with retaining highest test? Or only highest venous test?
Im still in a meeting and may not get this done today. Will also talk to Yan to see if she is available.
Patti

Sent from my iPhone