

Message

From: McKane, Patricia (DHHS) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=89bdaa1f3be34a5e983cfc72ae4c77a0-McKane Patricia]
Sent: 12/10/2015 7:34:45 PM
To: LyonCallo, Sarah (DHHS) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d4e32e35d7984fc6ba0f51b6a0c8fbb0-LyonCallo Sarah]; Miller, Corinne (DHHS) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=2a1eb71cc5e443b3bb368d7f77bc5f5b-Miller Corinne]
Subject: FW: 2014 lead data
Attachments: 151210MI2014_Bob.xlsx

Quickly.

Yan compared the two datasets. Much of the difference is due to INTCK, but not all.

From the original datasets we have (on duplicate) we have 24 records that Bob doesn't and he has 22 records that we don't based on child id, but the child number is the same for all but two observations. I don't know why the child id differs.

I think that we need to look at the differences. How should I approach this with EH. Should I send to Linda and Martha, explain that additional work is needed to identify the differences, recommending that Yan, Bob and Junaid work together? Or do you think it would be better to give them the recommendation? Or something else?

I don't want to overstep toes with my 'epi lead' role, but I want to make sure that Junaid is involved.

From: Tian, Yan (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 2:04 PM
To: McKane, Patricia (DHHS)
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

Hi, Patti,

Please find the attached file for the differences between our dataset and Bob's dataset!

Thanks,

Yan

From: McKane, Patricia (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:55 AM
To: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>; Tian, Yan (DHHS) <TianY@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

Great Bob.

That is helpful.

Yan do you have few minutes to meet with me in WHI can decide how to approach this.

From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:53 AM
To: McKane, Patricia (DHHS) <McKaneP@michigan.gov>; Tian, Yan (DHHS) <TianY@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: 2014 lead data

Patti,

I don't have much further to add at this point, beyond what I showed you yesterday looking at actual specimen dates and DOBs---i.e., I think the "Just Dates" file shows that my age calculations are correct. Not knowing SAS, I don't know how to adjust the code to adjust the SAS date calculations, but I think that's what needs to be done.

I'll be happy to help, if there is anything I can do.

We do seem to be calculating the same number of individuals (differed by 1), and we're pulling people from the same field, so I think it boils down to how the age is calculated.

Bob