
From: CARROLL, PAT <PCARROLL@idem.IN.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2011 7:49 AM
To: 'Smith, Gerald (MDH)'; Jonas, Jill D - DNR; Beth Messer; Kirk Leifheit; Mike Baker; Eric.Portz@illinois.gov; rick.cobb@illinois.gov; Benzie, Richard (DEQ); Howard, Brock (DEQ); Monosmith, Carrie (DEQ); Overmyer, Rich (DNRE); Ellingboe, Randy (MDH); Smude, Robert (MDH); Boushon, Lee H - DNR
Subject: RE: Final draft DW Administrators letter to EPA

Jerry,

Thanks again for pulling this final together. Indiana is OK with sending this version. I have one suggestion for the last paragraph: should we put in a contact in case EPA wants clarification of one or more of our points? Since I bought it up, I'll volunteer to be a contact and would work with all of you to answer any question EPA may have. I'm also open on this and will go along with what we all decide on a inserting a contact.

I'm OK either way on letterhead: blank or the collective logos. I'll send our logo if we decide to go that way.

I'd vote for some sort of electronic signature, but will go along with what the group decides.

As soon as we all approve of the final, we should give it to Region 5

My signature block is:

Patrick Carroll, Chief
 Drinking Water Branch
 Office of Water Quality
 Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Pat

From: Smith, Gerald (MDH) [mailto:Gerald.Smith@state.mn.us]
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2011 10:22 AM
To: Jonas, Jill D - DNR; Beth Messer; Kirk Leifheit; Mike Baker; CARROLL, PAT; 'Eric.Portz@illinois.gov'; 'rick.cobb@illinois.gov'; Richard (DNRE) Benzie; Brock (DNRE) Howard; Carrie (DNRE) Monosmith; Rich (DNRE) Overmyer; Ellingboe, Randy (MDH); Smude, Robert (MDH); Boushon, Lee H - DNR
Subject: Final draft DW Administrators letter to EPA
Importance: High

Good morning all

Attached is the final draft (yeah and a toss of confetti). The letter still needs formatting and that task has been given to our support staff. The track change is still on in case there are still changes to be made. Can everyone please send me their signature block. If you have already done so can you please resend as a couple of my archive email files were lost and I have someone trying to recover them. It will be faster if you resend the signature block.

Do we want the letter to go out with out a letterhead? One option would be to paste the logo from each state at the top of the letter.

Do the directors want to route the letter for signature or use electronic signatures?

Are we okay to send an advance copy of the letter to Region 5?

Thanks

Jerry

From: Jonas, Jill D - DNR [mailto:Jill.Jonas@Wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 1:16 PM

To: Smith, Gerald (MDH); Beth Messer; Kirk Leifheit; Mike Baker; 'pcarroll@idem.in.gov'; 'Eric.Portz@illinois.gov'; 'rick.cobb@illinois.gov'; Richard (DNRE) Benzie; Brock (DNRE) Howard; Carrie (DNRE) Monosmith; Rich (DNRE) Overmyer; Ellingboe, Randy (MDH); Smude, Robert (MDH); Boushon, Lee H - DNR

Subject: RE: Recap REduxp

Hi All,

Yes, we are okay with dropping the 2nd sentence in #2 as suggested by Beth.

Looking forward to seeing the final draft.

Happy 2011 everyone.

Jill

Jill D. Jonas, Director
Bureau of Drinking Water and Groundwater
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
101 South Webster, PO Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921
phone: (608) 267-7545
fax: (608) 267-7650
jill.jonas@wisconsin.gov

From: Smith, Gerald (MDH) [mailto:Gerald.Smith@state.mn.us]

Sent: Sunday, January 02, 2011 12:43 PM

To: Beth Messer; Kirk Leifheit; Mike Baker; 'pcarroll@idem.in.gov'; 'Eric.Portz@illinois.gov'; 'rick.cobb@illinois.gov'; Richard (DNRE) Benzie; Brock (DNRE) Howard; Carrie (DNRE) Monosmith; Rich (DNRE) Overmyer; Ellingboe, Randy (MDH); Smude, Robert (MDH); Jonas, Jill D - DNR; Boushon, Lee H - DNR

Subject: RE: Recap REduxp

Happy New everyone

I have changed the letter to incorporate Beth's comment in paragraph 3.

Regarding the Section 2 comment, I need to defer to Jill and Lee as this was language from their December 15 and 16 email attachments. I am good with dropping from the second bullet "What is needed is a compliance response path for total coliform positives that focuses on GWR responses for the initial confirmed total coliform positive and has an escalated response for additional confirmed total coliform positive results" Jill/Lee are you okay with dropping this sentence? If not can you please address Beth/Mike's questions.