
From: Benzie, Richard (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 11:06 AM
To: Devereaux, Tracy Jo (DEQ)
Cc: Busch, Stephen (DEQ)
Subject: RE: Congressman Kildee Response
Attachments: Congressman Kildee Response (3).docx

Here's our revisions that address everything that we thought needed attention -- mostly by Steve.

We are not providing a second link -- we just qualified it to make it clear what period it covers, which is the data in which they are interested.

You can complete it to the extent possible and send that draft to Lynda Dykema. I would suggest you tell her it is an FYI and that if she has any comments, please reply this afternoon as we intend to send it to the executive office by COB. Also, let her know Liane is out of town.

From: Devereaux, Tracy Jo (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 9:56 AM
To: Busch, Stephen (DEQ); Benzie, Richard (DEQ)
Subject: Congressman Kildee Response

Steve/Richard:

I've been in communication with Liane this morning via cell phone and she agreed with Steve's latest round of comments, I incorporated as many as I could. A couple still need attention:

1. Liane agrees with Steve "When the LCR was first implemented, the means for demonstrating optimized corrosion control was by sampling for lead concentrations using the monitoring requirements of this regulation" sounds strange and would like someone to suggest a rewording of it.
2. I need a link to both CCRs from Flint so we can list both in the document as not to confuse people.

After final discussion of you two, Liane would like me to send the incoming letter and our draft response to Linda Dykema at DHHS for any possible input on the letter, then it can go upstairs. This is still in rough draft format as I need to apply the correspondence guidelines to it before it goes upstairs. Nonetheless, the latest draft is attached.