

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

26 West Martin Luther King Dr.

Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Phone: (513) 569-7432

Fax: (513) 487-2543

email: lytle.darren@epa.gov

From: Howard Croft [<mailto:hcroft@cityofflint.com>]

Sent: Sunday, October 11, 2015 7:29 AM

To: Andy Leavitt

Cc: Marc Edwards; Amy Hovey; Brent Wright; Lytle, Darren; Dayne Walling; donna. cole; dykema; George Krisztian (DEQ); Gerald (Jed) Natzke; James Henry; Jamie Gaskin; Jason Lorenz; jmikewright; JoAnne Herman; John O'Brien; Kirk Smith; larry.koehler@mcc.edu; Laura Sullivan; Lawrence Reynolds; Lawrence Reynolds; Michael Glasgow; Wright, Michael; Mike Lane; Mike Prysby (DEQ); Natasha Henderson; Norb Birchmeier; Pete Levine; Robert Bincsik; rosejo@msu.edu; Russell Hudson; Samir Matta; Schock, Michael; Sean Kammer; Stephen Busch (DEQ); Warren Green; Wurfel, Brad (DEQ); Anthony Chubb; Kevin Keane

Subject: Re: 3rd Quarter Water Report

All,

The comments were very helpful and I have made several revisions to the report that was sent yesterday, please review the amendments and forward any additional recommendations. I would like to solicit comments from anyone in the medical community that is willing to be quoted.

On Sat, Oct 10, 2015 at 12:03 PM, Andy Leavitt <aleavitt@senatedems.org> wrote:

I would echo Marc's sentiment on the bold language agreeing with his points but I would also add that trust needs to be rebuilt and the public simply will not believe anything in the document if you start by telling them it still meets standards. They do not differentiate between regs and rules but they know the water does not meet the standard of the physician community.

I would also recommend opening with timeline of going back to Detroit and what steps people can take to mitigate lead issues. Preferably with an excerpt from a physician.

The technical information matters for transparency but the trust gap is so wide that most people will be skeptical of all of it.

On Sat, Oct 10, 2015 at 11:48 AM Marc Edwards <edwardsm@vt.edu> wrote:

Hi Howard,

I think it is universally acknowledged now, that 30 seconds to 2 minutes flushing are not adequate, and **all public education should say 5 minutes flushing.**

I also think that given results of our team sampling 277 homes, the high levels of lead MDEQ detected in the Flint schools (a 90%ile over 15 ppb. which is horrible because they are not tier 1 plumbing sites), and the problems in the official LCR sampling conducted in late 2014-2015, that the following statement (in bold) is not really helpful in getting the message out about the current health risks. The bold statement seems more like wishful thinking or a legal position, and detracts from the goal of telling the public about the known problems.

*After 16 months of treating water from the Flint River, the City became aware that the while **still meeting all Safe Drinking Water Standards**, the level of corrosiveness could result in more lead leaching from service lines and household plumbing.*

That is my input. I am available at 540 231-7236 or 540 320-8740, if anyone should have questions about this.

Best Regards,

Marc Edwards

From: Howard Croft [mailto:hcroft@cityofflint.com]

Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2015 8:33 AM

To: Amy Hovey; Andy Leavitt; Brent Wright; Darren Lytle; Dayne Walling; donna. cole; dykema; edwardsm; George Krisztian (DEQ); Gerald (Jed) Natzke; Howard Croft; James Henry; Jamie Gaskin; Jason Lorenz; jmikewright; JoAnne Herman; John O'Brien; Kirk Smith; larry.koehler@mcc.edu; Laura Sullivan;