
From: Thelen, Mary Beth (DEQ)
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2015 12:20 PM
To: Krisztian, George (DEQ)
Subject: Re: Preliminary and Deliberative not subject to FOIA: FW: 3rd Quarter Water Report

Ok, just wanted to make sure the name was correct!

Thanks!
Mb

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 10, 2015, at 12:18 PM, "Krisztian, George (DEQ)" <krisztiang@michigan.gov> wrote:

Hi Mary Beth,

Yes Kirby is from the Lab. He is the Inorganics Unit Supervisor and will be heading up the testing of the lead and Copper samples.

George

George L. Krisztian
Flint Action Plan Coordinator
Laboratory Director
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
Desk ph (517) 284-6719
Cell ph PPI

From: Thelen, Mary Beth (DEQ)
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2015 12:12 PM
To: Krisztian, George (DEQ)
Subject: Re: Preliminary and Deliberative not subject to FOIA: FW: 3rd Quarter Water Report

George, Who is Kirby Shane? From the lab?
Thx
Mb

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 10, 2015, at 12:08 PM, "Krisztian, George (DEQ)" <krisztiang@michigan.gov> wrote:

FV!

George L. Krisztian
Flint Action Plan Coordinator
Laboratory Director

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

Desk ph (517) 284-6719

Cell ph PPI

From: Andy Leavitt [<mailto:aleavitt@senatedems.org>]

Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2015 12:03 PM

To: Marc Edwards; Howard Croft; Amy Hovey; Brent Wright; Darren Lytle; Dayne Walling; donna. cole; Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS); Krisztian, George (DEQ); Gerald (Jed) Natzke; James Henry; Jamie Gaskin; Jason Lorenz; jmikewright; JoAnne Herman; John O'Brien; Kirk Smith; larry.koehler@mcc.edu; Laura Sullivan; Lawrence Reynolds; Lawrence Reynolds; Michael Glasgow; Michael Wright; Mike Lane; Prysby, Mike (DEQ); Natasha Henderson; Norb Birchmeier; Pete Levine; Robert Bincsik; rosejo@msu.edu; Russell Hudson; Samir Matta; schock.michael@epa.gov; Sean Kammer; Busch, Stephen (DEQ); Warren Green; Wurfel, Brad (DEQ); Anthony Chubb; Kevin Keane

Subject: Re: 3rd Quarter Water Report

I would echo Marc's sentiment on the bold language agreeing with his points but I would also add that trust needs to be rebuilt and the public simply will not believe anything in the document if you start by telling them it still meets standards. They do not differentiate between regs and rules but they know the water does not meet the standard of the physician community.

I would also recommend opening with timeline of going back to Detroit and what steps people can take to mitigate lead issues. Preferably with an excerpt from a physician.

The technical information matters for transparency but the trust gap is so wide that most people will be skeptical of all of it.

On Sat, Oct 10, 2015 at 11:48 AM Marc Edwards <edwardsm@vt.edu> wrote:

Hi Howard,

I think it is universally acknowledged now, that 30 seconds to 2 minutes flushing are not adequate, and all public education should say 5 minutes flushing.

I also think that given results of our team sampling 277 homes, the high levels of lead MDEQ detected in the Flint schools (a 90%ile over 15 ppb, which is horrible because they are not tier 1 plumbing sites), and the problems in the official LCR sampling conducted in late 2014-2015, that the following statement (in bold) is not really helpful in getting the message out about the current health risks. The bold statement seems more like wishful thinking or a legal position, and detracts from the goal of telling the public about the known problems.

*After 16 months of treating water from the Flint River, the City became aware that the while **still meeting all Safe Drinking Water Standards**, the*