
From: Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)
Sent: Friday, November 06, 2015 12:45 PM
To: Busch, Stephen (DEQ);Krisztian, George (DEQ)
Subject: FW: Need comment ASAP

Importance: High

Let's talk.
b

From: Kaffer, Nancy [<mailto:nkaffer@freepress.com>]
Sent: Friday, November 06, 2015 12:11 PM
To: Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)
Subject: Need comment ASAP
Importance: High

Hey Brad,

Just want to make sure you got my voice mail. I have some follow up questions based on the FOIA'd material I received yesterday.

Specifically:

- By 2013, MDEQ had a 2011 Flint report about the prospect of using Flint River water as a drinking water source. That report includes an appendix that lists phosphates, which we all now know are used for corrosion control, in the list of chemicals that would be required to treat the water. With a report in hand stating phosphates should be used, why were they not required?
- Based on the materials I received, the 2011 report comes up briefly in 2013, when the KWA switch was contemplated, then seems to drop out of the picture. Why was a report about the viability of the Flint River water as a drinking water source not part of the 2014 conversations about whether to switch to the river or to stay connected to DWSD?
- A report included in the material I FOIA'd said that by the end of 2012, Flint officials did not want to pursue the option of using the Flint River as a drinking water source. Why was it reintroduced?

Need a response ASAP. This is for Sunday.

Nancy

Nancy Kaffer
Columnist
Detroit Free Press
www.freep.com/abettermichigan
313-222-6585
@nancykaffer, @abettermi