

Rennaker, Joanne (DEQ)

From: Wright, Michael <Wright.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2015 7:13 PM
To: Howard Croft; Amy Hovey; Andy Leavitt; Anthony Chubb; Brent Wright; Lytle, Darren; Dayne Walling; Deborah Higgins; donna.cole; Dykema, Linda D. (DHHS); Wells, Eden (DHHS); edwardsm; Krisztian, George (DEQ); Gerald (Jed) Natzke; James Henry; Jamie Gaskin; Jason Lorenz; jmikewright; JoAnne Herman; John O'Brien; Kevin J. Keane; Kirk Smith; larry.koehler@mcc.edu; Laura Sullivan; Lawrence Reynolds; Lawrence Reynolds; Michael Glasgow; Schock, Michael; Mike Lane; Prysby, Mike (DEQ); MVALACAK@gchd.us; Natasha Henderson; Norb Birchmeier; Pete Levine; Robert Bincsik; rosejo@msu.edu; Russell Hudson; Samir Matta; Sean Kammer; Shawn Patrick McElmurry; Busch, Stephen (DEQ); swalser@senatedems.org; Thompson, Sheryl D. (DHHS); Warren Green
Subject: RE: TAC_Request for Input

Howard

This looks fine to me, although I'm not sure how effective question 4c will be to the end users of the data, since "any kind of additive" is unclear to me. Is this intended to capture point of use (POU) filtration devices that use activated carbon like Brita faucet mounted filters? If so, I'd encourage you to put language specific to what the public will understand (brand names usually do the trick). My past understanding is that some POU devices will have varying levels of effectiveness at lead removal and that this may even be dependent on the type of device (faucet-mounted device vs pitcher-style). I'm just not up on the literature, nor did I get a chance to review NSF ratings for Lead removal, including the ones that are being passed out in Flint. The long and short of it, if they are effective at Lead removal, then it might fairly accurately represent a typical concentration (when filter properly maintained), but may not capture if other sinks used for water consumption, etc. So, it may not reflect what is coming out of similar (faucet-mounted) unfiltered residential samples with comparable piping.

Mike

From: Howard Croft [<mailto:hcroft@cityofflint.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2015 12:14 PM

To: Amy Hovey ; Andy Leavitt ; Anthony Chubb ; Brent Wright ; Lytle, Darren ; Dayne Walling ; Deborah Higgins ; donna.cole ; dykemal ; Eden Wells (DHHS) ; edwardsm ; George Krisztian (DEQ) ; Gerald (Jed) Natzke ; Howard Croft ; James Henry ; Jamie Gaskin ; Jason Lorenz ; jmikewright ; JoAnne Herman ; John O'Brien ; Kevin J. Keane ; Kirk Smith ; larry.koehler@mcc.edu ; Laura Sullivan ; Lawrence Reynolds ; Lawrence Reynolds ; Michael Glasgow ; Schock, Michael ; Wright, Michael ; Mike Lane ; Mike Prysby (DEQ) ; MVALACAK@gchd.us ; Natasha Henderson ; Norb Birchmeier ; Pete Levine ; Robert Bincsik ; rosejo@msu.edu ; Russell Hudson ; Samir Matta ; Sean Kammer ; Shawn Patrick McElmurry ; Stephen Busch (DEQ) ; swalser@senatedems.org ; thompsons2@michigan.gov ; Warren Green

Subject: TAC_Request for Input

Advisory Team,

Given the holiday scheduling, we are looking at Wednesday December 9th at 2:00 as the best opportunity to hold our next Advisory meeting. Please provide us with feedback on whether or not this is a convenient time for you to attend, we will give priority to our EPA partners in the final scheduling of the meeting.

I am also including a copy of our new NO FLUSH instructions that are now on all of our test bottles being distributed along with a draft copy of a letter that we plan to send to all residents that have been tested under the previous protocol. We will wait for comments on the letter prior to distributing it publicly.

We now have our City Hall lobby Information window staffed along with two screens. One screen will display information on water, filters, and testing, the other will display a video sent to us that demonstrates installation procedures with different filters.

Thank you,

--

Howard Croft
Public Works Director
City of Flint
1101 S. Saginaw Street
Flint, MI 48502
PH# 810.766.7135 Ext.2043
hcroft@cityofflint.com