

From: [Shekter, Jean \(DEQ\)](#)
To: [Rosenthal, Adam \(DEQ\)](#); [Cook, Pat \(DEQ\)](#); [Philip, Kris \(DEQ\)](#); [Howard, Brock \(DEQ\)](#); [Benzie, Richard \(DEQ\)](#)
Cc: [Prysby, Mike \(DEQ\)](#)
Subject: RE: Lead/Copper ?
Date: Monday, October 03, 2011 3:15:19 PM

Kris, please weigh in before Adam calls them back. Pat suggested they resample, but he forgot it was already October (Can't fault Pat - I can't remember what YEAR this is!) I believe the samples are improper and should not be used to calculate the 90th. The supply cannot resample because we are outside the June-Sept monitoring period. Calculate the 90th on the available proper sample results. The supply is short the minimum number of samples, so an M/R violation applies as does admin fine and PN. I could be persuaded on the admin fine.

From: Rosenthal, Adam (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:20 PM
To: Cook, Pat (DEQ); Philip, Kris (DEQ); Howard, Brock (DEQ); Benzie, Richard (DEQ); Shekter, Jean (DEQ)
Cc: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Subject: Lead/Copper ?

I was notified by Jeff Antil that Detroit received samples from Flint, and they were 2 samples short. Actually they had all samples, but according to the last use and collection times for 2 samples, equaled 5 hrs and 5.5 hrs stagnation time. Input please.

thanks,
Adam