
From: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV)
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 6:12 PM
To: Dillon, Andy (Treasury); Stibitz, Brom (Treasury); Headen, Frederick (Treasury); Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Cc: Roberts, John (GOV)
Subject: RE: Flint/KWA

I thought we had settled all these questions. If so, we need to answer his email with an explanation.

From: Dillon, Andy (Treasury)
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 11:11 AM
To: Stibitz, Brom (Treasury); Headen, Frederick (Treasury); Saxton, Thomas (Treasury)
Cc: Muchmore, Dennis (GOV); Roberts, John (GOV)
Subject: Fwd: Flint/KWA

I don't know who Fred the Engineer is.

I spoke to Brooks Patterson Sat night. He won't get in middle of this despite Det News story.

I think we need to understand if the legacy liability issue raised twice now presents a real risk to Flint. Also, Fred were you able to sort thru rate issue we discussed?

Thanks.

Andy

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: fredtheengineer <fredtheengineer@comcast.net>
Date: April 15, 2013, 10:46:35 AM EDT
To: "Dillon, Andy (Treasury)" <DillonA2@michigan.gov>
Subject: Flint/KWA

Dear Mr. Dillon:

The report produced, at your direction, by TYJT, indicated the most economical option for Flint water is to maintain a contract with DWSD. The KWA option will cost more money. Since some of the funds for the KWA solution will come from State grants and State Revolving Fund loans, that solution will cost me more money in the form of taxes. The best solution from the economic and engineering perspective is DWSD. A purely political decision that ignores economics is not in the public interest.

Sincerely,

Fred W. Barnes, PE

Sent from my iPhone