
From: Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2015 3:13 PM
To: Kaffer, Nancy
Cc: Wurfel, Sara (GOV); Wisely, John
Subject: Re: Follow-up questions

Nancy, saw a response Friday and thought it went to you. Will find and forward that asap.

Thanks

b

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 5, 2015, at 2:49 PM, Kaffer, Nancy <nkaffer@freepress.com> wrote:

Hi Sara – sent these last week and got no response. Figured some of these are MDEQ questions, so I'm adding Brad onto the list, here.

ASAP would be great.

Thanks!

N

Nancy Kaffer
Columnist
Detroit Free Press
www.freep.com/abeltermichigan
313-222-6585
[@nancykaffer](https://twitter.com/nancykaffer), [@abeltermi](https://twitter.com/abeltermi)

From: Kaffer, Nancy
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2015 12:06 PM
To: 'Wurfel, Sara (GOV)' <Wurfels@michigan.gov>
Subject: Follow-up questions
Importance: High

- Reviewing my notes, I'm not sure I got a definitive answer from Wyant about corrosion control at the Flint water treatment plant.
Was corrosion control in use? I'd like a simple yes or no, here – if no, obviously, why not – if yes, what was it, and why was – if any – what sounds like a lesser degree of corrosion control being used? I would like the names of the specific technologies/chemicals that were in use in the past, if in fact corrosion control was being used at the Flint plant, and the specific technologies that will be used going forward.
- Was the MDEQ unaware that there were aging lead service lines in Flint, and that those would increase the lead risk? Were the Flint water treatment folks? Why was this not taken into account in developing the water treatment plan?

- Wyant said that “two consecutive back to back tests” showed there was a problem; when were those tests performed and when were the results available?

Obviously I would like to use this information in a piece we’ll have ready to go at 1:30p so ASAP would be great.

Nancy Kaffer
Columnist
Detroit Free Press
www.freep.com/abettermichigan
313-222-6585
@nancykaffer, @abettermi