
From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, January 04, 2016 2:11 PM
To: Garcia, Deborah (DHHS)
Subject: FW: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

From: Wells, Eden (DCH)
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 6:08 PM
Subject: Re: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

Thanks, Nancy,

Do not want to speak for Geralyn--so up to her----

but I am hoping the data analysis currently being done will hopefully address the questions being asked by stakeholders. I like the charts that were done prior, and appreciate the look at the numbers today for Hurley physicians. Now further analysis is being done to look at the testing results you have provided to look at any associations based on risk, etc.

Bob, (yay, I have your email now!)- the docs at Hurley have greatly appreciated your quick responses and assistance. Thanks for following up with her about the IRB today. Thank you, too, Nancy, for the same to all of the requests we have thrown at you in the last week!

Eden

Eden V. Wells, MD, MPH, FACPM
 Chief Medical Executive
 Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
 201 Townsend Street, 5th Floor CVB
 Lansing, MI 48913
 Phone: 517-335-8011
 wellse3@michigan.gov

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:53 PM
To: Wells, Eden (DCH)
Cc: Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, Geralyn (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH); Scott, Robert L. (DCH)
Subject: RE: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

Bob went back and looked at his numbers.

For the first chart, with the elevated blood lead levels, he found one number in the 2014-2015 row that changed, and he confirmed that the data in the later version was correct (the version dated September 23). In the second chart, showing testing numbers, use the one dated September 23rd. That chart shows unduplicated tests (e.g. one test per child, per month), whereas the previous version did count some 'duplicate' tests.

I have copied Bob on this email, in case you have further questions.

I'd also like to ask for clarification on one of Geralyn's earlier emails today; Bob (CLPPP) had produced these descriptive charts – but it looked like Dr. Wells is asking Corinne to do that instead of CLPPP (it was a request

to re-do the chart with only younger children included). Trying not to duplicate efforts, so please clarify if you would like us to do that, or someone else. Thanks!

Nancy

From: Wells, Eden (DCH)

Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:11 PM

To: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)

Cc: Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, Geralyn (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH)

Subject: Re: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies
fantastic, thanks!!

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)

Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:04 PM

To: Wells, Eden (DCH)

Cc: Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, Geralyn (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH)

Subject: Re: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

I'll connect with him shortly, and we'll get back to you.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 29, 2015, at 2:41 PM, Wells, Eden (DCH) <WellsE3@michigan.gov> wrote:

Hi Nancy,

I do not have Bob's email, so if you can talk to him; however, I got a request from Dr. Hanna-Attisha at Hurley today as to why the 2014-2015 may differ in the 2 pdf's she attached (received 9/15 and 9/25). FYI, she stated that Bob is great to work with.

However, due to different numbers and analyses and such flying around in the last 24 hours, please respond back to me ASAP regarding the discrepancies, and I can relay to her.

Thank you!

Eden

Eden V. Wells, MD, MPH, FACPM

Chief Medical Executive

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

201 Townsend Street, 5th Floor CVB

Lansing, MI 48913

Phone: 517-335-8011

wellse3@michigan.gov

From: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 1:07 PM

To: Wells, Eden (DCH)

Subject: FW: Discrepancies

Dr Wells, in regards to the discrepancy noted in state data. Attached are two PDF files that were sent regarding the state lead numbers.

The original PDF was sent on 9/15/15 to Dr Reynolds from Bob Scott from MDHHS's Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program and the updated PDF was sent to me from Bob Scott last Friday.

The attached excel database (that I created) has two tabs that include the original and updated numbers. Yellow highlights are all the numbers that changed. It is very strange how many of the numbers have changed. I'm not sure if the updated numbers tried to refine the location to Flint proper???

The analyses of the data does not change very much (when you look at total annual EBL%) - there has been a statistically significant increase in EBL% since water change (2014-2015) where every year prior there has been a decrease (as has happened nationally). And this does not even include the fact that the data is not scientific – wrong age range and missing lots of EBLs by using first time lead analysis rather than highest lead.

Just thought the discrepancy was odd.

Mona Hanna-Attisha MD MPH FAAP

Program Director Pediatric Residency

Hurley Children's Hospital at Hurley Medical Center

Michigan State University College of Human Medicine

Department of Pediatrics and Human Development

Mhanna1@hurleymc.com