
From: Scott, Robert L. (DHHS)
Sent: Monday, January 04, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Garcia, Deborah (DHHS)
Subject: FW: Flint follow-up

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2015 1:44 PM
Subject: Re: Flint follow-up

Thanks for doing this!

Based on reading the email from the Free Press person, maybe emphasize that looking at the big picture is probably more accurate than a strict year to year analysis. For example, the results for the months after the water source change look a whole lot like results a few years earlier when Flint WAS on the Detroit water.

And, that paint is still the primary source of lead poisoning in our whole state AND in Flint, and that the biggest problem is still in Detroit.

I'm running on, Blah blah blah - you'll do great!

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 25, 2015, at 1:36 PM, Scott, Robert L. (DCH) <ScottR9@michigan.gov> wrote:

Yes

From: Minicuci, Angela (DCH)
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2015 1:36 PM
To: Peeler, Nancy (DCH) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DCH) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Flint follow-up

Bob, I like what you had to say. That's basically what I told her, but she wants to hear it from someone other than a spokesperson ☺ Are you available for a call with her?

Angela

From: Peeler, Nancy (DCH)
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2015 1:20 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Cc: Scott, Robert L. (DCH) <ScottR9@michigan.gov>
Subject: Re: Flint follow-up

I think Bob is the best person to speak to them about the lead data, if you are comfortable with that, Bob. My secret hope is that we can work in the fact that this pattern is similar to recent past. :)

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 25, 2015, at 1:12 PM, Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov> wrote:

Hi Nancy and Bob,

The Detroit Free Press wants to do a bigger story about the issue of lead in Flint. I made it clear to her yesterday that we could not say the lead poisonings are related to the water, but she instead wants to do a story about the increase of overall lead poisonings in Flint between 12/13 and 14/15. Are either of you available to speak with her today?

Thank you,

Angela

From: Tanner, Kristi [<mailto:ktanner@freepress.com>]

Sent: Friday, September 25, 2015 12:16 PM

To: Minicuci, Angela (DCH) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>

Subject: Flint follow-up

Hi Angela,

Thanks for the feedback yesterday. I took a look at the numbers last night that you sent over and the year over year increase between 13/14 and 14/15 is statistically significant $p < .05$

Can you ask you epidemiologist to confirm? Also, is this the first significant increase that Flint has seen for this cohort?

Thanks!

Kristi

(313) 222-8877 office

PPI cell

Children in Flint, less than 16 years of age, tested for lead

Children with Elevated BLL*

May 2010 - April 2011	168	0.043796
2011-2012	153	0.036085
2012-2013	118	0.028379
2013-2014	95	0.023691
2014-2015	123	0.032106
May - August 2015	34	0.029746

Children Tested**

May 2010 - April 2011	3,836
2011-2012	4,240
2012-2013	4,158
2013-2014	4,010
2014-2015	3,831
May - August 2015	1,143

*Each child counted only once.

**Some children counted in more than one year.

September 24, 2015

Source: MDHHS Data Warehouse