
From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 12:44 PM
To: LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Wells, Eden (DHHS); Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015
Attachments: Flint Blood Lead Level Testing Protocol Table v8.docx

I'm still not clear if the language is changing to screening vs testing, and I think adding a third row makes this chart even more redundant but given the feedback I've received, here is an updated version. Is this good to consider final at this point?

Angela

From: LaRocco, Toni [<mailto:tlarocco@gchd.us>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 12:18 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I will defer to the entire group as we have not been making that distinction. Most of the stuff done both at GCHD and PCPs is screening not testing. Might not want to confuse the matter.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 12:16 PM
To: LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Wells, Eden (DHHS); Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Do you want the language to continue to say 'Testing Available at GCHD'? Or did we want to edit the language?

Angela

From: LaRocco, Toni [<mailto:tlarocco@gchd.us>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 12:11 PM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I like Mona's idea of creating a "Second" column under **Testing Methodology** placing GCHD as the second of three in that section. In that case remove "Testing available at GCHD" from the third column.... and in all reality.....the bulk we are talking about screening not testing.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 11:56 AM
To: LaRocco, Toni
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Here you are!

Angela

From: LaRocco, Toni [<mailto:tlarocco@gchd.us>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 11:35 AM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Okay....just out of meetings. Can you please email the latest copy and I will review.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 11:00 AM
To: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Wells, Eden (DHHS); LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I'm happy to move it to the second column and change the language however Toni would prefer. Just let me know what that language would be and I will update.

Angela

From: Mona Hanna-Attisha [<mailto:MHanna1@hurleymc.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:58 AM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

You can of course do whatever you want, but GCHD is an independent and constant place to get blood tested -- it is not one of these community/health plan events that are popping up to do single day mass screenings.

If you were to prioritize testing methodologies, it would be primary care doctors, GCHD and then least-preferred would be the anti-medical home community/health plan events. So GCHD should actually be the second column.

And under the GCHD, it would include the process - walk-in, appt, lab test, etc. Toni can clarify this.

But of course, it's up to you.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:50 AM
To: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Wells, Eden (DHHS); LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Instead of adding another column that would say the exact same thing in every row, we added a line to the community column as the GCHD is located in the community. The language says: **Testing available at GCHD.**

If that language should be more expansive or detailed, I'm open to suggestions.

Angela

From: Mona Hanna-Attisha [<mailto:MHanna1@hurleymc.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:46 AM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I think I have emailed this about ten times already, there needs to be a third column under testing methodology titled GCHD – that is an independent site where kids can get blood lead tested- separate from the primary care providers and separate from community/health plan events.

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 10:20 AM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS); LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

As we received no edits to this last night, circulating tonight. Looks like this is the final version?

Also, any insight to the difference between Priority levels 2 and 3? Any help would be appreciated!

Angela

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 2:57 PM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; 'LaRocco, Toni' <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; 'Mona Hanna-Attisha' <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, GERALYN (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; 'Emily Houk' <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Attached is a cleaned up version for Priority 2.

Can someone help me understand the difference between the priority levels? Now that P2 and P3 have the same actions associated with them, hoping to be able to explain why we've set these different audiences under different priority levels.

Angela

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 2:33 PM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>; LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasergh@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Given the case management edit and the GCHD testing information, please see the attached updated version. Feedback?

Angela

From: Wells, Eden (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:55 AM
To: LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us>
Cc: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasergh@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: Re: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Great!!

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 22, 2015, at 11:53 AM, LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us> wrote:

After lunch conversation regarding printing of materials. I have spoken with both our Headstart/Early Headstart program, call in for GSRP, call in for non-licensed day care and have distribution list for licensed day care centers.

From: Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) [mailto:PeelerN@michigan.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:36 AM
To: LaRocco, Toni
Cc: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS); Wells, Eden (DHHS); Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: Re: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I agree with Toni - the case management contract with GCHD will be based on actual elevated levels (e.g. Priority 1), and CM will do outreach to those kids. They will not be offering CM to children who don't have a test showing an elevated level (e.g. Priority 2 and 3).

However, if kids from Priority level 2 or 3 DO have an elevated level, that would bump them up into Priority 1.

Nancy

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 22, 2015, at 11:16 AM, LaRocco, Toni <tlarocco@gchd.us> wrote:

I don't think case management column under priority level 2 is pertinent pending conversations tomorrow afternoon. Although anyone needing case management will be followed by GCHD

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) [<mailto:MinicuciA@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:01 AM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS)
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS); Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS); Emily Houk; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); LaRocco, Toni; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

The final draft from the site is attached for everyone's review. Any final edits?

Angela

From: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 10:43 AM
To: Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov>
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; tlarocco@gchd.us; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

I don't believe I have access to the Sharepoint you referenced, Emily. Can you please send the final draft around for review to everyone here?

Angela

From: Wells, Eden (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 10:33 AM
To: Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>
Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>; Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; tlarocco@gchd.us; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>
Subject: Time Sensitive-Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Please review and let Angela know if ok sap
 Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 22, 2015, at 10:06 AM, Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov> wrote:

Looks like the attachment fell off. Please use this cleaned up version.

Angela

From: Wells, Eden (DHHS)
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 10:05 AM
To: Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com>; Minicuci, Angela (DHHS) <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>; Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS) <lasherg@michigan.gov>; Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS) <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>
Cc: Emily Houk <emily@r2pconsultants.com>; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS) <PeelerN@michigan.gov>; tlarocco@gchd.us;

Travis, Rashmi (DHHS) <TravisR@michigan.gov>

Subject: Re: Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Folks- looping in folks who are cleaning final drafts

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 22, 2015, at 9:54 AM, Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com> wrote:

I think GCHD needs to be a third column under testing methodology since testing can be done there. I may have missed this on a version.

From: Emily Houk [<mailto:emily@r2pconsultants.com>]

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 9:13 AM

To: Wells, Eden (DHHS)

Cc: Mona Hanna-Attisha; Peeler, Nancy (DHHS); tlarocco@gchd.us; Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)

Subject: Re: Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Got it. I added "GCHD" under Case Management to help clarify this (I hope?).

Documents are now on sharepoint.

Mona, I apologize for missing your parent edits. I have incorporated most, if not all of them. Thank you for your help.

Emily

On Thu, Oct 22, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Wells, Eden (DHHS) <WellsE3@michigan.gov> wrote:
Agree

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 22, 2015, at 8:15 AM, Mona Hanna-Attisha <MHanna1@hurleymc.com> wrote:

Looks super. Did you want to add GCHD as an outreach site since people can go there for testing?

From: Emily Houk [<mailto:emily@r2pconsultants.com>]

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 7:59 AM

To: Nancy Peeler; tlarocco@gchd.us; Mona Hanna-Attisha; Wells, Eden (DCH); Travis, Rashmi (DHHS)

Subject: Updated Testing Protocol October 22, 2015

Good morning,

The updated testing protocol document is attached.

Based on emails from last night, I:

1. Removed the Hurley column
2. Did NOT include 2-1-1 in the testing protocol

Let me know if you have additional edits.

Emily

--

Emily Houk, President and Chief Mischief Maker

Research to Practice Consulting, LLC

216 North Chestnut

Lansing, Michigan 48933

517-896-2712

r2pconsultants.com

--

Emily Houk, President and Chief Mischief Maker

Research to Practice Consulting, LLC

216 North Chestnut

Lansing, Michigan 48933

517-896-2712

r2pconsultants.com

<Flint Blood Lead level Testing Protocol table v5.docx>