

**From:** [Shekter Smith, Liane \(DEQ\)](#)  
**To:** [Wurfel, Brad \(DEQ\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feuerstein, Heather \(DEQ\)](#); [Devereaux, Tracy Jo \(DEQ\)](#); [Sygo, Jim \(DEQ\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Follow-up questions  
**Date:** Monday, October 05, 2015 5:42:00 PM

---

Just got it. When do we need to respond? Can we handle this tomorrow?

---

**From:** Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)  
**Sent:** Monday, October 05, 2015 3:46 PM  
**To:** Shekter Smith, Liane (DEQ)  
**Cc:** Wyant, Dan (DEQ)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Follow-up questions

Need you for this, Liane.  
b

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Kaffer, Nancy" <[nkaffer@freepress.com](mailto:nkaffer@freepress.com)>  
**Date:** October 5, 2015 at 3:40:52 PM EDT  
**To:** "Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)" <[WurfelB@michigan.gov](mailto:WurfelB@michigan.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Wurfel, Sara (GOV)" <[Wurfels@michigan.gov](mailto:Wurfels@michigan.gov)>, "Wisely, John" <[jwisely@freepress.com](mailto:jwisely@freepress.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Follow-up questions

Thanks, Brad. Also, I would find it helpful – and probably John would, as well – if someone from MDEQ could walk us through the state's process of signing off on a local water treatment plan.

---

Nancy Kaffer  
Columnist  
Detroit Free Press  
[www.freep.com/abettermichigan](http://www.freep.com/abettermichigan)  
313-222-6585  
[@nancykaffer](#), [@abettermi](#)

---

**From:** Wurfel, Brad (DEQ) [<mailto:WurfelB@michigan.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 05, 2015 3:13 PM  
**To:** Kaffer, Nancy <[nkaffer@freepress.com](mailto:nkaffer@freepress.com)>  
**Cc:** Wurfel, Sara (GOV) <[Wurfels@michigan.gov](mailto:Wurfels@michigan.gov)>; Wisely, John <[jwisely@freepress.com](mailto:jwisely@freepress.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Follow-up questions

Nancy, saw a response Friday and thought it went to you. Will find and forward that asap.

Thanks

b

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 5, 2015, at 2:49 PM, Kaffer, Nancy <[nkaffer@freepress.com](mailto:nkaffer@freepress.com)> wrote:

Hi Sara – sent these last week and got no response. Figured some of these are MDEQ questions, so I’m adding Brad onto the list, here.

ASAP would be great.

Thanks!

N

---

Nancy Kaffer  
Columnist  
Detroit Free Press  
[www.freep.com/abettermichigan](http://www.freep.com/abettermichigan)  
313-222-6585  
[@nancykaffer](https://twitter.com/nancykaffer), [@abettermi](https://twitter.com/abettermi)

---

**From:** Kaffer, Nancy  
**Sent:** Friday, October 02, 2015 12:06 PM  
**To:** 'Wurfel, Sara (GOV)' <[Wurfels@michigan.gov](mailto:Wurfels@michigan.gov)>  
**Subject:** Follow-up questions  
**Importance:** High

- Reviewing my notes, I’m not sure I got a definitive answer from Wyant about corrosion control at the Flint water treatment plant. Was corrosion control in use? I’d like a simple yes or no, here – if no, obviously, why not – if yes, what was it, and why was – if any – what sounds like a lesser degree of corrosion control being used? I would like the names of the specific technologies/chemicals that were in use in the past, if in fact corrosion control was being used at the Flint plant, and the specific technologies that will be used going forward.
- Was the MDEQ unaware that there were aging lead service lines in Flint, and that those would increase the lead risk? Were the Flint water treatment folks? Why was this not taken into account in developing the water treatment plan?
- Wyant said that “two consecutive back to back tests” showed

there was a problem; when were those tests performed and when were the results available?

Obviously I would like to use this information in a piece we'll have ready to go at 1:30p so ASAP would be great.

---

Nancy Kaffer  
Columnist  
Detroit Free Press  
[www.freep.com/abettermichigan](http://www.freep.com/abettermichigan)  
313-222-6585  
@nancykaffer, @abettermi