
From: Busch, Stephen (DEQ)
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 10:33 AM
To: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Subject: RE: DEQ Meeting with Flint City

Ok.

As a proposal for sludge disposal has not been submitted we having nothing to comment on at this point.

I'm not sure that any recreational use can be restricted, but security around the intake area will likely be increased.

Central staff may complete a source water assessment as this would help identify long term impacts of using the river as a source, but a source water protection program is voluntary on the part of a water system.

Stephen Busch, P.E.
Lansing and Jackson District Supervisor
Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance MDEQ
517-643-2314

-----Original Message-----

From: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 8:02 AM
To: Busch, Stephen (DEQ)
Subject: FW: DEQ Meeting with Flint City

Steve,

Steve,

I have not spoken with Dave directly regarding his questions; however, John 'O and I talked last Friday (late morning) regarding these questions. I did not go into detail with John on Flint asking us to reconsider Bray Road....but kept it more general that Flint is investigating several cost options for disposal of lime sludge. I also indicated that we would not push source water assessment of the Flint River watershed on the basis that this is expected to serve as a temporary source (18-24 months).... then as an emergency back-up source after KWA.

Michael Prysby, P.E.
District Engineer
Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance
517 290-8817

-----Original Message-----

From: John O'Malia [<mailto:icomalia@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 8:59 AM
To: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Subject: Fwd: DEQ Meeting with Flint City

Can you address back to me your thoughts on David's questions. Thanks.

John O'

----- Forwarded message -----

From: John O'Malia <jcomalia@gmail.com>
 Date: Fri, Nov 8, 2013 at 8:57 AM
 Subject: Re: DEQ Meeting with Flint City
 To: David Jansen <D_JANSEN@gcdcwws.com>

Will pursue ASAP.
 John O'

On Thu, Nov 7, 2013 at 3:52 PM, David Jansen <D_JANSEN@gcdcwws.com> wrote:

> The Flint River Watershed Coalition has a meeting scheduled with the Commissioner and me on Tuesday. They're going to want to know the implications for the river if Flint puts the plant into operation. Questions to be raised include sludge disposal and implications for recreational use on Holloway and Mott Lake if MDEQ is going to make them implement source water protection plan, and if so, how extensive. See what you can find out, but don't push too hard.

> Thanks for the quick response, John

> Best regards,

> Dave

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: John O'Malia [<mailto:jcomalia@gmail.com>]

> Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2013 3:38 PM

> To: David Jansen; Elgar Brown

> Subject: Re: DEQ Meeting with Flint City

>

> I did not get into the specifics but we can open up, Flint City improvements, for discussion on the 21st. With softening the sludge has to go somewhere for sure. We know the sludge pipeline from the WTP to Bray is shot!

> I can get more info. if needed right away? Monday they have off.

> John O'

>

> On Thu, Nov 7, 2013 at 10:58 AM, David Jansen <D_JANSEN@gcdcwws.com> wrote:

>> John, does this mean that DEQ is going to let them reopen Bray Road?

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: John O'Malia [<mailto:jcomalia@gmail.com>]

>> Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2013 10:49 AM

>> To: David Jansen; Matt Raysin; Osborn, Larry; Elgar Brown; Prysby,

>> Mike (DNRE)

>> Subject: DEQ Meeting with Flint City

>>

>> As per Mike they are going to operate the plant, with softening, starting in April 2014 when the DWSD contract expires. So, they have to move quickly to improve the plant to manage/produce water 24/7. It sounds like the design will proceed quickly to allow 24/7 operation and much is to be done quickly to meet the deadline. I am sure somethings will slip beyond April but they seem to be in a move quick mode!

>> I told Mike that they would get the 60% PS drawings by say Friday the 8th as they are closed Monday. Larry, I assume that is correct.

>> It appears that moving the meeting to the 21st is going to be OK for review of the PS drawings. They did not seem to have any heartburn with the standards as presented but it will be a good idea to just open that issue up, on the 21st, to be sure it is vetted.

>> All seems to progress.
>> Any questions let me know.
>> John O'.