

From: [Rosenthal, Adam \(DEQ\)](#)
To: [Cook, Pat \(DEQ\)](#); [Philip, Kris \(DEQ\)](#); [Howard, Brock \(DEQ\)](#); [Benzie, Richard \(DEQ\)](#); [Shekter, Jean \(DEQ\)](#)
Cc: [Prysby, Mike \(DEQ\)](#)
Subject: RE: Lead/Copper?
Date: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:42:26 PM

can they since it's October?

From: Cook, Pat (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:40 PM
To: Rosenthal, Adam (DEQ); Philip, Kris (DEQ); Howard, Brock (DEQ); Benzie, Richard (DEQ); Shekter, Jean (DEQ)
Cc: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Subject: RE: Lead/Copper?

I hate to say it, but since they did not meet the minimum 6 hour stagnation time, I don't think we can accept the results of those 2 samples. They will have to resample.

Pat

From: Rosenthal, Adam (DEQ)
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:20 PM
To: Cook, Pat (DEQ); Philip, Kris (DEQ); Howard, Brock (DEQ); Benzie, Richard (DEQ); Shekter, Jean (DEQ)
Cc: Prysby, Mike (DEQ)
Subject: Lead/Copper ?

I was notified by Jeff Antil that Detroit received samples from Flint, and they were 2 samples short. Actually they had all samples, but according to the last use and collection times for 2 samples, equaled 5 hrs and 5.5 hrs stagnation time. Input please.

thanks,
Adam