
From: Busch, Stephen (DEQ)
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2015 8:32 PM
To: Wyant, Dan (DEQ); Wurfel, Brad (DEQ); Tommasulo, Karen (DEQ); Pallone, Maggie (DEQ)
Subject: RE: talking points
Attachments: City of Flint PbCu15 8_17_15.pdf

See the second paragraph on page 2 of the attached for the technical definition. Since the WTP level was Non-detect, in this situation saying the 90th percentile must be less than 5 ppb I believe is correct.

Stephen Busch, P.E.
MDEQ Lansing District Coordinator
Office of Drinking Water and Municipal Assistance
Lansing and Jackson District Supervisor
517-643-2314
buschs@michigan.gov

From: Wyant, Dan (DEQ)
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2015 7:51 PM
To: Busch, Stephen (DEQ); Wurfel, Brad (DEQ); Tommasulo, Karen (DEQ); Pallone, Maggie (DEQ)
Subject: Fwd: talking points

Brad/Karen. Please add to my talking points.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hedman, Susan" <hedman.susan@epa.gov>
Date: October 1, 2015 at 7:26:59 PM EDT
To: "Wyant, Dan (DEQ)" <WyantD@michigan.gov>
Subject: RE: talking points

Further to our conversation, please note that lead and copper monitoring is used to determine:

- 1) Whether corrosion control is optimized. Under 141.81(b)(3), a water system is deemed to have optimum corrosion control if it submits results of tap water monitoring that demonstrates for 2 consecutive six-month periods that the 90th percentile level is below 5 ug/L (ppb). Because Flint exceeded this level, the City is required to implement corrosion control – as noted in MDEQ's August 17th letter to the City of Flint which states: "Since the City did not meet these criteria in both the July–December 2014, and January-June 2015, sampling periods, the City must now recommend a treatment to fully optimize corrosion control treatment"
- 2) Whether the lead action level (15ug/L) has been exceeded. Under 141.84(a), systems that fail to meet the lead action level in tap samples after installing corrosion control treatment must replace lead service lines – 7% of the lead service lines in its distribution system.

From: Wyant, Dan (DEQ) [<mailto:WyantD@michigan.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2015 5:02 PM
To: Hedman, Susan
Subject: Fwd: talking points

Talking points. I will make the adjustment you and I talked about. Thanks for your help.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Tommasulo, Karen (DEQ)" <TommasuloK@michigan.gov>
Date: October 1, 2015 at 4:27:53 PM EDT
To: "Pallone, Maggie (DEQ)" <PalloneM@michigan.gov>, "Minicuci, Angela (DHHS)" <MinicuciA@michigan.gov>, "Eisner, Jennifer (DHHS)" <EisnerJ@michigan.gov>, "Hertel, Elizabeth (DHHS)" <HertelE@michigan.gov>, "Wurfel, Brad (DEQ)" <WurfelB@michigan.gov>, "Wurfel, Sara (GOV)" <Wurfels@michigan.gov>, "Murray, David (GOV)" <MurrayD1@michigan.gov>, "Thelen, Mary Beth (DEQ)" <THELENM2@michigan.gov>, "Wyant, Dan (DEQ)" <WyantD@michigan.gov>, "Paciorek, Josh (GOV)" <Paciorekji@michigan.gov>, "Lasher, Geralyn (DHHS)" <lasherg@michigan.gov>
Subject: talking points

Here's the talking points we've been working from. Feel free to add DHHS' info.

Karen Tommasulo
Public Information Officer
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
517-284-6716 | 517-599-5733
tommasulok@michigan.gov